# NCPC 2019 Presentation of solutions

2019-10-05

NCPC 2019 solutions

#### Problems prepared by

- Per Austrin (KTH Royal Institute of Technology)
- Bjarki Ágúst Guðmundsson (Reykjavík University)
- Nils Gustafsson (KTH Royal Institute of Technology)
- Antti Laaksonen (CSES)
- Ulf Lundström (Excillum)
- Jimmy Mårdell (Spotify)
- Torstein Strømme (University of Bergen)
- Pehr Söderman (Kattis)
- Jesper Öqvist (Lund University)

And big thanks to Lukáš Poláček for test-solving the problems!

# H — Hot Hike

# Problem

Given values  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ , which *i* minimizes  $\max(t_i, t_{i+2})$ ?

# H — Hot Hike

# Problem

Given values  $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ , which *i* minimizes  $\max(t_i, t_{i+2})$ ?

## **Business Logic Solution**

```
ACCEPT lin
MOVE FUNCTION NUMVAL(lin) TO n
ACCEPT lin
PERFORM VARYING i FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL i GREATER THAN n
  UNSTRING lin DELIMITED BY SPACE INTO Z(i) WITH POINTER linepos
END-PERFORM
PERFORM VARYING i FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL i GREATER THAN n - 2
   IF FUNCTION MAX(Z(i), Z(i + 2)) < v THEN
      SET v TO FUNCTION MAX(Z(i), Z(i + 2))
      SET d TO i
  END-TF
END-PERFORM
MOVE v TO t
DISPLAY d, " ", t
```

# H — Hot Hike

# Problem

Given values  $t_1, \ldots t_n$ , which *i* minimizes  $\max(t_i, t_{i+2})$ ?

## **Business Logic Solution**

```
ACCEPT lin
MOVE FUNCTION NUMVAL(lin) TO n
ACCEPT lin
PERFORM VARYING i FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL i GREATER THAN n
  UNSTRING lin DELIMITED BY SPACE INTO Z(i) WITH POINTER linepos
END-PERFORM
PERFORM VARYING i FROM 1 BY 1 UNTIL i GREATER THAN n - 2
   IF FUNCTION MAX(Z(i), Z(i + 2)) < v THEN
      SET v TO FUNCTION MAX(Z(i), Z(i + 2))
      SET d TO i
  END-TF
END-PERFORM
MOVE v TO t
DISPLAY d, " ", t
Statistics: 380 submissions, 219 accepted, first after 00:03
```

Simulate team selection process.

Simulate team selection process.

# Solution

• Keep kids in a list, remove from list when they are selected.

Simulate team selection process.

- Keep kids in a list, remove from list when they are selected.
- Jump k 1 steps in list every time. (where k = number of words in rhyme.)

Simulate team selection process.

- Keep kids in a list, remove from list when they are selected.
- Jump k 1 steps in list every time. (where k = number of words in rhyme.)
- Time complexity  $O(n^2)$  (why the square?).

Simulate team selection process.

# Solution

• Keep kids in a list, remove from list when they are selected.

- Jump k 1 steps in list every time.
   (where k = number of words in rhyme.)
- Time complexity  $O(n^2)$  (why the square?).

Statistics: 346 submissions, 198 accepted, first after 00:11

Find a winning next move in Word Chain game, or just some valid move if no winning move exists.

Find a winning next move in Word Chain game, or just some valid move if no winning move exists.

# Solution

Ount how many unused words start with each letter a-z

Find a winning next move in Word Chain game, or just some valid move if no winning move exists.

- Count how many unused words start with each letter a-z
- For each unused word x that starts with last letter of previous word, check if there are no unused words that start with last letter of x (if so, x is winning).

Find a winning next move in Word Chain game, or just some valid move if no winning move exists.

- Count how many unused words start with each letter a-z
- Provide a start of the start with last letter of x (if so, x is winning).
- Special case: x starts and ends with same letter. (Shown in Sample Input 3.)

Find a winning next move in Word Chain game, or just some valid move if no winning move exists.

- Count how many unused words start with each letter a-z
- Provide a start of the start with last letter of x (if so, x is winning).
- Special case: x starts and ends with same letter. (Shown in Sample Input 3.)
- Time complexity O(n).

Find a winning next move in Word Chain game, or just some valid move if no winning move exists.

# Solution

- Count how many unused words start with each letter a-z
- Provide a start of the start with last letter of x (if so, x is winning).
- Special case: x starts and ends with same letter. (Shown in Sample Input 3.)
- Time complexity O(n).

Statistics: 723 submissions, 189 accepted, first after 00:05

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

# Solution

If we start putting sodas in a slot, that slot is "lost" and we might as well fill it up completely.

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

- If we start putting sodas in a slot, that slot is "lost" and we might as well fill it up completely.
- If slot A has more old sodas than slot B, it is never better to fill up slot A before slot B.

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

- If we start putting sodas in a slot, that slot is "lost" and we might as well fill it up completely.
- If slot A has more old sodas than slot B, it is never better to fill up slot A before slot B.
- $\odot$   $\Rightarrow$  Greedily put new sodas in slots with fewest old sodas.

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

- If we start putting sodas in a slot, that slot is "lost" and we might as well fill it up completely.
- If slot A has more old sodas than slot B, it is never better to fill up slot A before slot B.
- $\odot$   $\Rightarrow$  Greedily put new sodas in slots with fewest old sodas.
- If untouched slots have < m sodas, impossible.

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

- If we start putting sodas in a slot, that slot is "lost" and we might as well fill it up completely.
- If slot A has more old sodas than slot B, it is never better to fill up slot A before slot B.
- $\odot$   $\Rightarrow$  Greedily put new sodas in slots with fewest old sodas.
- If untouched slots have < m sodas, impossible.
- Time complexity  $O(s \log s)$ .

How to put n new sodas in a fridge with s partially filled stack-based slots of sodas in a way that maximizes chances that next m sodas taken from fridge are all old sodas?

# Solution

- If we start putting sodas in a slot, that slot is "lost" and we might as well fill it up completely.
- If slot A has more old sodas than slot B, it is never better to fill up slot A before slot B.
- $\odot$   $\Rightarrow$  Greedily put new sodas in slots with fewest old sodas.
- If untouched slots have < m sodas, impossible.
- Time complexity  $O(s \log s)$ .

Statistics: 334 submissions, 151 accepted, first after 00:31

# B — Building Boundaries

## Problem

Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

# B — Building Boundaries

### Problem

Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

- Without loss of generality, can assume solution places:
  - one rectangle somewhere.



Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

# Solution

Without loss of generality, can assume solution places:

- one rectangle somewhere.
- 2 next rectangle to the right with top side aligned.



Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

# Solution

Without loss of generality, can assume solution places:

- one rectangle somewhere.
- o next rectangle to the right with top side aligned.
- last rectangle as high as possible with left side aligned with one of the previous two



Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

# Solution

Without loss of generality, can assume solution places:

- one rectangle somewhere.
- onext rectangle to the right with top side aligned.
- Iast rectangle as high as possible with left side aligned with one of the previous two, or to the right of the previous two.



Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

# Solution

Without loss of generality, can assume solution places:

- one rectangle somewhere.
- onext rectangle to the right with top side aligned.
- last rectangle as high as possible with left side aligned with one of the previous two, or to the right of the previous two.



**2** Try for all  $3! \cdot 2^3 = 48$  permutations+rotations of rectangles.

Arrange three rectangles of sizes  $a_1 \times b_1$ ,  $a_2 \times b_2$  and  $a_3 \times b_3$  so that area of enclosing rectangle minimized.

# Solution

Without loss of generality, can assume solution places:

- one rectangle somewhere.
- o next rectangle to the right with top side aligned.
- Iast rectangle as high as possible with left side aligned with one of the previous two, or to the right of the previous two.



2 Try for all  $3! \cdot 2^3 = 48$  permutations+rotations of rectangles.

Statistics: 232 submissions, 100 accepted, first after 00:33

Split  $n \times m$  bar of chocolate into piles of size a and  $n \cdot m - a$  by breaking it horizontally/vertically as few times as possible.

Split  $n \times m$  bar of chocolate into piles of size a and  $n \cdot m - a$  by breaking it horizontally/vertically as few times as possible.

# Solution

• One split suffices if a is divisible by n or m.

Split  $n \times m$  bar of chocolate into piles of size a and  $n \cdot m - a$  by breaking it horizontally/vertically as few times as possible.

- One split suffices if a is divisible by n or m.
- Q Two splits suffice if a can be factored into a = x ⋅ y where x ≤ n and y ≤ m, or if n ⋅ m a can.
   (Check by trying all O(n) possible values of x.)

Split  $n \times m$  bar of chocolate into piles of size a and  $n \cdot m - a$  by breaking it horizontally/vertically as few times as possible.

- One split suffices if a is divisible by n or m.
- Where a can be factored into a = x ⋅ y where x ≤ n and y ≤ m, or if n ⋅ m a can.
   (Check by trying all O(n) possible values of x.)
- O Three splits are always enough.

Split  $n \times m$  bar of chocolate into piles of size a and  $n \cdot m - a$  by breaking it horizontally/vertically as few times as possible.

# Solution

- One split suffices if a is divisible by n or m.
- Where a can be factored into a = x ⋅ y where x ≤ n and y ≤ m, or if n ⋅ m a can.
   (Check by trying all O(n) possible values of x.)
- O Three splits are always enough.

Statistics: 642 submissions, 85 accepted, first after 00:20

# G — Game of Gnomes

## Problem

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.
Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

#### Solution

• Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

- Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.
- ② ⇒ Equivalent problem: divide *n* troops into some number *g* groups of size *k*, remaining ones into  $\leq m$  groups of size < k.

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

- Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.
- ② ⇒ Equivalent problem: divide *n* troops into some number *g* groups of size *k*, remaining ones into  $\leq m$  groups of size < k.
- **③** For the groups of size < k, optimal to split the troops evenly.

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

- Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.
- ② ⇒ Equivalent problem: divide n troops into some number g groups of size k, remaining ones into ≤ m groups of size < k.</p>
- For the groups of size < k, optimal to split the troops evenly.
- Given value of g, can do a little math and compute objective value in constant time.

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

- Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.
- ② ⇒ Equivalent problem: divide n troops into some number g groups of size k, remaining ones into ≤ m groups of size < k.</p>
- For the groups of size < k, optimal to split the troops evenly.
- ④ ⇒ Given value of g, can do a little math and compute objective value in constant time.
- g must be between n/k m and n/k. Try all possibilities.

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

- Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.
- ② ⇒ Equivalent problem: divide n troops into some number g groups of size k, remaining ones into ≤ m groups of size < k.</p>
- For the groups of size < k, optimal to split the troops evenly.
- ④ ⇒ Given value of g, can do a little math and compute objective value in constant time.
- g must be between n/k m and n/k. Try all possibilities.
- Time complexity O(m) (faster solutions exist).

Divide *n* troops into  $\leq m$  groups. Each round we lose up to *k* troops from one group. Maximize sum of life lengths of troops.

## Solution

- Group of size x + k equivalent to two groups of sizes x and k.
- ② ⇒ Equivalent problem: divide n troops into some number g groups of size k, remaining ones into ≤ m groups of size < k.</p>
- For the groups of size < k, optimal to split the troops evenly.
- $\Rightarrow$  Given value of g, can do a little math and compute objective value in constant time.
- g must be between n/k m and n/k. Try all possibilities.
- Time complexity O(m) (faster solutions exist).

Statistics: 268 submissions, 19 accepted, first after 01:07

### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

#### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

## $\operatorname{Solution}$

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.

#### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.
  - Compute lower bounds on flows: actual flow if known, otherwise max of 1 and sum of lower bounds of child flows.

#### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.
  - Compute lower bounds on flows: actual flow if known, otherwise max of 1 and sum of lower bounds of child flows.
- In top-down order, for known flows:

#### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.
  - Compute lower bounds on flows: actual flow if known, otherwise max of 1 and sum of lower bounds of child flows.
- In top-down order, for known flows:
  - If one unknown child, or lower bounds of unknown children adds up to remaining flow, distribute among unknown children.

#### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.
  - Compute lower bounds on flows: actual flow if known, otherwise max of 1 and sum of lower bounds of child flows.
- In top-down order, for known flows:
  - If one unknown child, or lower bounds of unknown children adds up to remaining flow, distribute among unknown children.
- Verify all flows known and correct when done.

#### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.
  - Compute lower bounds on flows: actual flow if known, otherwise max of 1 and sum of lower bounds of child flows.
- In top-down order, for known flows:
  - If one unknown child, or lower bounds of unknown children adds up to remaining flow, distribute among unknown children.
- Verify all flows known and correct when done.
- Time complexity O(n).

### Problem

Given rooted tree with water flowing from sources to root, and some known water flows, reconstruct all of them if possible.

## Solution

- In bottom-up order:
  - For unknown flows where all child flows known, flow is sum of child flows.
  - Compute lower bounds on flows: actual flow if known, otherwise max of 1 and sum of lower bounds of child flows.
- In top-down order, for known flows:
  - If one unknown child, or lower bounds of unknown children adds up to remaining flow, distribute among unknown children.
- Verify all flows known and correct when done.
- Time complexity O(n).

Statistics: 219 submissions, 24 accepted, first after 01:30

Author: Markus Dregi and Nils Gustafsson NCPC 2019 solutions

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

#### Solution

• #edges from first t nodes to anywhere is  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i)$ .

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

- #edges from first t nodes to anywhere is  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i)$ .
- 2 #edges from first t nodes to first t nodes is  $\binom{t}{2}$ .

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

- #edges from first t nodes to anywhere is  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i)$ .
- 2 #edges from first t nodes to first t nodes is  $\binom{t}{2}$ .
- So #edges from first t to last n t nodes are  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i) {t \choose 2}$ .

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

- #edges from first t nodes to anywhere is  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i)$ .
- 2 #edges from first t nodes to first t nodes is  $\binom{t}{2}$ .
- So #edges from first t to last n t nodes are  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i) {t \choose 2}$ .
- Implies it is optimal to order nodes by increasing out-degree.

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

- #edges from first t nodes to anywhere is  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i)$ .
- 2 #edges from first t nodes to first t nodes is  $\binom{t}{2}$ .
- So #edges from first t to last n t nodes are  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i) {t \choose 2}$ .
- Implies it is optimal to order nodes by increasing out-degree.
- Time complexity  $O(n \log n)$  after reading the  $n^2$  size input to compute degrees.

Given complete directed graph (tournament), order nodes so that number of edges from first t nodes to last n - t nodes is at most kfor all t. Find minimum value of k (a.k.a. "directed cutwidth").

## Solution

- #edges from first t nodes to anywhere is  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i)$ .
- 2 #edges from first t nodes to first t nodes is  $\binom{t}{2}$ .
- So #edges from first t to last n t nodes are  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} outdegree(v_i) {t \choose 2}$ .
- Implies it is optimal to order nodes by increasing out-degree.
- Time complexity  $O(n \log n)$  after reading the  $n^2$  size input to compute degrees.

Statistics: 30 submissions, 11 accepted, first after 01:34

## J — Jealous Youngsters

#### Problem

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

## J — Jealous Youngsters

#### Problem

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

## Solution

• Kids have preference orderings of which toys they prefer to play with.

## J — Jealous Youngsters

#### Problem

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

- Kids have preference orderings of which toys they prefer to play with.
- Envy can be viewed as toys having a preference ordering of which kids they want to be assigned to.

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

- Kids have preference orderings of which toys they prefer to play with.
- Envy can be viewed as toys having a preference ordering of which kids they want to be assigned to.
- **③** This is the *Stable Matching Problem*.

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

- Kids have preference orderings of which toys they prefer to play with.
- Envy can be viewed as toys having a preference ordering of which kids they want to be assigned to.
- **③** This is the *Stable Matching Problem*.
- Show how to solve it or figure out algorithm.

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

- Kids have preference orderings of which toys they prefer to play with.
- Envy can be viewed as toys having a preference ordering of which kids they want to be assigned to.
- **③** This is the *Stable Matching Problem*.
- Show how to solve it or figure out algorithm.
- Time complexity: O(nm log m) (log factor can be eliminated)

Allocate toys to kid so that they do not start crying.

## Solution

- Kids have preference orderings of which toys they prefer to play with.
- Envy can be viewed as toys having a preference ordering of which kids they want to be assigned to.
- **③** This is the *Stable Matching Problem*.
- Show how to solve it or figure out algorithm.
- Time complexity:  $O(nm \log m)$  (log factor can be eliminated)

Statistics: 40 submissions, 5 accepted, first after 03:02

## D — Dungeon Dawdler

#### Problem

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

Solution, part 1: basic setup

Explore by walking towards unvisited spaces.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

## Solution, part 1: basic setup

- Explore by walking towards unvisited spaces.
- Represent current knowledge as a set of map fragments.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

#### Solution, part 1: basic setup

- Explore by walking towards unvisited spaces.
- Represent current knowledge as a set of map fragments.
- 3 When we fall into an unknown trap, create a new fragment.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

#### Solution, part 1: basic setup

- Explore by walking towards unvisited spaces.
- Represent current knowledge as a set of map fragments.
- When we fall into an unknown trap, create a new fragment.
- Have some logic to identify when two fragments must be the same and merge fragments when possible.
   Many different approaches possible, main challenge is choosing one that minimizes implementation difficulty.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

## Solution, part 2: identifying and merging fragments

Observation: if locations always explored in same order, then after falling into new traps 4 times, we have started repeating an ABABAB... or AAAAAA... pattern of traps.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

## Solution, part 2: identifying and merging fragments

Observation: if locations always explored in same order, then after falling into new traps 4 times, we have started repeating an ABABAB... or AAAAAA... pattern of traps.
 ⇒ last and third last trap must be the same, can merge.
Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

# Solution, part 2: identifying and merging fragments

- Observation: if locations always explored in same order, then after falling into new traps 4 times, we have started repeating an ABABAB... or AAAAAA... pattern of traps.
  ⇒ last and third last trap must be the same, can merge.
- 2 Can also deduce how to merge two fragments if they:
  - Have traps leading to the same location (must be same trap).
  - Both have two traps.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

# Solution, part 2: identifying and merging fragments

- Observation: if locations always explored in same order, then after falling into new traps 4 times, we have started repeating an ABABAB... or AAAAAA... pattern of traps.
  - $\Rightarrow$  last and third last trap must be the same, can merge.
- 2 Can also deduce how to merge two fragments if they:
  - Have traps leading to the same location (must be same trap).
  - Both have two traps.
- If we merge two fragments, any traps they have in same position must lead to same fragment.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

Solution, part 3: end game

At end we may still have two separate fragments, for two reasons:

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

# Solution, part 3: end game

At end we may still have two separate fragments, for two reasons:

 Only one trap (indistinguishable from two separate identical rooms). Use connectedness guarantee to deduce single trap.

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

# Solution, part 3: end game

At end we may still have two separate fragments, for two reasons:

- Only one trap (indistinguishable from two separate identical rooms). Use connectedness guarantee to deduce single trap.
- Cannot reach both traps from any one point. By connectedness guarantee, traps must be next to each other in a narrow corridor, use this to merge the two fragments. E.g:

######		######		##########
#aB?	and	?A#	=>	#aBA#
#S.###		##b#		#S.###b#
######		######		##########

Explore and create map of 2D maze with up to two trapdoors/teleporters that cause us to loose our bearings.

# Solution, part 3: end game

At end we may still have two separate fragments, for two reasons:

- Only one trap (indistinguishable from two separate identical rooms). Use connectedness guarantee to deduce single trap.
- Cannot reach both traps from any one point. By connectedness guarantee, traps must be next to each other in a narrow corridor, use this to merge the two fragments. E.g:

######		######		##########
#aB?	$\mathtt{and}$	?A#	=>	#aBA#
#S.###		##b#		#S.###b#
######		######		##########

Statistics: 1 submissions, 0 accepted

9

231 submitting teams

3303 total number of submissions (1002 accepted)

programming languages used by teams.							
Ordered	by popularity	:					
1416	Python 2/3	(2018:	1400)				
938	C++	(2018:	740)				
775	Java	(2018:	892)				
105	C#	(2018:	105)				
36	Rust	(2018:	N/A)				
28	С	(2018:	6)				
3	Haskell	(2018:	6)				
2	Ruby	(2018:	0)				

326 lines of code used in total by the shortest **jury** solutions to solve the entire problem set.

Northwestern Europe Regional Contest (NWERC)

Nov. 15-17 in Eindhoven.

Teams from Nordic, Benelux, Germany, UK, Ireland, and Estonia.







Northwestern Europe Regional Contest (NWERC)

Nov. 15-17 in Eindhoven.

Teams from Nordic, Benelux, Germany, UK, Ireland, and Estonia.







Each university sends up to two teams to NWERC to fight for spot in World Finals (June 2020 in Moscow, Russia)

NCPC 2019 solutions